NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Permits, Region 8 6274 East Avon-Lima Road, Avon, NY 14414-9516 P: (585) 226-5400 | F: (585) 226-2830 www.dec.ny.gov

September 16, 2020

Via US Mail and email:

Thomas Biamonte Shelby Crushed Stone Inc 10830 Blair Road Medina, NY 14103

RE: SEQR Determination - Positive Declaration
Shelby Crushed Stone - Medina Mine (Mine ID #80346)

Application ID: 8-3436-00007/00001

Mined Land Reclamation and Freshwater Wetland Applications

Town of Shelby, Orleans County

Dear Mr. Biamonte:

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; as Lead Agency, has issued a positive Declaration of Environmental Significance and is requiring that a draft environmental impact statement (dEIS) be prepared for the above-noted mining and wetland application proposing a 14.8 acre expansion to the current Shelby Crushed Stone – Medina Mine.

The Department will be conducting scoping in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 617.8. A draft scope which contains the items identified in paragraphs 617.8 (f) (1) through (5) of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR) regulations will need to be prepared. The Department will soon be sharing a copy of a draft scope for review and discussion.

Please contact me at (585) 226-5396 or email at Robert.call@dec.ny.gov if you have any questions relating to the status of this application or the information discussed in this letter.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Call
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Enc: Positive Declaration



Cc: Town of Shelby - J. Smith, Supervisor USACE – Buffalo Regulatory Office (Ecc) S. Livingstone, Earth Dimensions (Ecc)

D. Sek, NYSDEC - MLR (Ecc) S. Army, NYSDEC - MLR (Ecc)

T. Haley, NYSDEC - Regional Permit Administrator (Ecc)

D. Loew, NYSDEC - OGC (Ecc)

File

Agency Use Only [IfApplicable]

Project : 8-3436-00007/00001 Date : 09/16/2020

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

See attached sheet					
	Determinat	tion of Significance	- Type 1 and	Unlisted Actions	
SEQR Status:	✓ Type 1	Unlisted			
Identify portions of E	EAF completed for this	s Project: 🔽 Part 1	✓ Part 2	Part 3	
					FEAF 2019

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information	5	
Mined Land Reclamation Permit Modification Renewal and Modification (dated January 28, 2020), and the Attachments from 2020 Notice of Incomplete Application (received on April 3, 2020).	or Response t	to the February 14,
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion NYSDEC as lead	n of the I agency that	i .
us 1840	· agency ma	•
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an enstatement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	nvironmenta	l impact
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact wi substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:	ll be avoided	d or
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6		
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an enstatement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternative impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.		
Name of Action: Shelby Crushed Stone - Medina Pit		
Name of Lead Agency: NYSDEC		
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Robert B. Call		
Title of Responsible Officer: Deputy Regional Permit Administrator		
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:	09/16/2020
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:	
For Further Information:		
Contact Person: Robert B. Call		
Address: NYSDEC, Region 8, 6274 E. Avon-Lima Rd., Avon, NY 14414		
Telephone Number: 585-226-5396		
E-mail: robert.call@dec.ny.gov		
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:		
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., To Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	own / City /	Village of)

State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) POSITIVE DECLARATION

Determination of Significance Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft EIS

Project Number: 8-3436-00007/00001 **Date:** September 16, 2020

This notice is issued pursuant to Part 617 of the implementing regulations pertaining to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act) of the Environmental Conservation Law.

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, as Lead Agency has determined that the proposed action described below may have a significant effect on the environment and that a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared.

Name of Action: Shelby Crushed Stone, Inc. – Medina Mine

Proposed expansion of bedrock Mine

SEQR Status: Type 1

Lead Agency: NYSDEC

NYSDEC Permit Jurisdiction:

Article 24 NYS Freshwater Wetlands	6 NYCRR Part 663, Part 664, and Part 665
Article 23 Mined Land Reclamation Permit	6 NYCRR Part 420, Part 421, Part 422, Part 423,
	Part 424 and Part 425
SPDES Multi-Sector General Permit for	6 NYCRR Part 750
Stormwater	
Section 401 Water Quality Certification	6 NYCRR Part 608, Section 401 of Clean Water Act

Preparers Name: Robert B. Call, Deputy Regional Permit Administrator, Region 8

Description of Action: The currently permitted limestone/dolostone mine includes an approximately 106 acre of life-of-mine area, located in the Town of Shelby. As proposed, an approximately 14.8 area expansion area is proposed to be used for the excavation of consolidated bedrock material. The expansion area consists of 8.69 acres of state and federal jurisdictional wetlands and 6.11 acres of state regulated 100-foot adjacent area. No changes to the method or manner of mining are proposed.

Location: The mine is located along at 10830 Blair Road, and covers an approximately 106 acre area south of Blair Road. The modification involves expanding the existing mine to the south of the existing mine footprint.

Analysis:

Impact on Land – The currently permitted mine includes an approximately 106 acre of life-of-mine area, located in the Town of Shelby. As proposed, an approximately 14.8 area expansion area is proposed to be used for the excavation of consolidated bedrock material. The expansion will extend the mine to the south, into a federal and state regulated wetland and Class C stream. The development of this area potentially enables further southern expansion of the mine into the wetland, which may cause additional damage to the resource. Due to the impacts to the stream, wetland, wetland habitat and biota, there is potential for significant impact related to this project.

Impacts on Surface Water – There is a DEC regulated wetlands and class C stream at this property and in the area to be mined. A class C stream is to be relocated and a wetland is to dewatered for the mining activates. While reclamation will turn the area into a pond, drainage will currently continue thru a permitted SPDES discharge location. Due to the impacts to the stream and wetland, there is potential for significant impact associated with this project.

Impacts on Groundwater – While dewatering for the mine excavation of the unconsolidated resources will continue to be on-going. The nearest home for the expansion is approximately 2,500 feet to the south from the expansion area with a forested buffer. Turbidity created during below groundwater mining will remain on-site. The project is not located within a principal aquifer. However it is pointed out that the "lateral extent of the ground water table drawdown in the expansion area will be approximately 280 feet, with the vast majority of the drawdown occurring within 150 feet of the quarry". Due to the potential significant impacts to the stream and wetland area, there is potential for significant impacts related to Groundwater.

Impacts on Flooding – The EAF Part 1 identified no floodplains at the site, however there are DEC regulated streams and wetlands at this property. Based on the open-pit nature of the mine, surface water will continue to be captured and drainage will currently continue thru a permitted SPDES discharge location. Due to existing site controls, no significant impacts to flooding are anticipated with this project.

<u>Impacts on Air</u> – No new processing equipment, traffic or production increases are being proposed at the site. No significant impacts on air are anticipated with this project.

Impacts on Agricultural Resources – The facility is located within an agricultural district certified pursuant to Article 25-AA of the NYS Ag. & Markets Law; however the proposed project is located within an area that is not actively farmed. As proposed action will result in permanent conversion of land that has previously been used for agriculture and in a certified agricultural district, the impacts to Agricultural Resources should be considered.

<u>Impact on Noise</u> – Blasting at the mine is well established and had been done at regular intervals during the last 30 years. No changes to the currently approved extraction methods are proposed. However, the proposed action extend the blasting operations to the south. While receptors are at a significant distance, the impacts on noise should be considered.

<u>Impact on Traffic</u> - No change to the existing plans for haulage of martials, internal or external to the site. All highway truck will continue to use Fuller Road for access. No significant impacts on traffic are anticipated with this project.

<u>Consistency with Community Plans</u>—While the Town of Shelby enacted a Mining and Excavation Law, the mine is currently existing and in operation with little or no opposition or issues. Discussions with the Town have indicated their support of the modification. No significant impacts to Community Plans are anticipated with the proposed action.

Consistency with Community Character – The project is located with Orleans County, which has been designated as part of the West Erie Canal Heritage Corridor. However, the project is located approximately 2 miles south of the Erie Canal and will not have any impact on the characteristics that qualified the corridor for designation as a NYS Heritage area. There is the Shelby Fort site on the Property, plans have been developed to avoid this area and there has been previous consultation with the Seneca Nation of Indians regard the site. The area is mapped as being archeologically sensitive. As proposed action will result in permanent modifications of lands in proximity to a historic site and occurs in an archeologically sensitive area, potential impacts should be considered.

Determination: POSITIVE DECLARATION

This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Reasons Supporting this Determination:

- A direct adverse impact to existing wetlands, wetland habitat, biota and streams due to the expansion of the mine directly into a regulated freshwater wetland.
- A potential adverse impact to adjoining wetland areas, wetland habitat, biota and streams related to the surface and groundwater impacts associated with the proposed modification. Further, the development of this area potentially enables further southern expansion of the mine into the wetland, which may cause additional damage to the wetland resource.
- A potential adverse impact adverse impact due to noise, as with the proposed expansion of the blasting operations to the south.
- A potential adverse impact to Agricultural Resources, as there is a permanent conversion of land that has previously been used for agriculture and in a certified agricultural district.
- A potential adverse impact to Community Character, as the proposed action will result in permanent modifications of lands in proximity to a historic site and occurs in an archeologically sensitive area.

NOTE: The above supporting reasons are not necessarily inclusive. Additional potential impacts may be identified during the scoping process.

For Further Information: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Region 8 Headquarters

Contact Person: Robert B. Call, Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Address: 6274 E Avon-Lima Rd, Avon, NY 14414-9519

Telephone Number: (585) 226-5396

A Copy of this Notice Sent to:

 Regional Office of the Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Environmental Permits

- Town of Shelby Supervisor Jeff Smith
- Shelby Crushed Stone, Inc. (applicant)
- Strategic Mining Solutions LLC
- D. Loew, NYSDEC OGC Region 8
- USACE Buffalo Regulatory Office

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Agency Use Only [If applicable] Project: 8-3436-00007/00001 Date: 09/16/2020

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.

 Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of 	of the project.		
1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet. Yes, bedrock mine	E2d		Ø
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	\square	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface. Blasting is to occur	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material. Yes, bedrock mine	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases. Yes, bedrock mine with multiple phases	D1e		Ø
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (includi vegetation removal for mine	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	V	
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	it Z NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□nc		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body The mine will create a pond	b, D1h		Ø
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		Z
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. Mine area includes wetland	D2a		Z
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		Ø
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	Ø	
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	\square	
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	Ø	
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. impacts to stream and wetland	E2h		Ø
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	\square	

l. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater			
The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquit (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□NC fer.		YES
Dewatering will recover groundwater but nearest home is ~2500 ft away	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	Ø	
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c	Ø	
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	Ø	
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21	Ø	
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21	Ø	
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	Ø	
h. Other impacts:		Ø	
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	□NC		YES
ij Teb , andrei questions a g. ij Tro , more on to seemon o.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	Z	
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e		

g. Other impacts:			
		•	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	□NO		YES
No new sources	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	Ø	
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	Ø	
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	Ø	
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	Ø	
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	✓NO	☐YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	Е3с		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	and b.)	□NO	✓ YES
It "Yes", answer auestions a - h. It "No", move on to Section 9.			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
While in an Ag district, not currently used	Relevant Part I Question(s)	small impact	Moderate to large impact may occur
	Part I	small	to large impact may
While in an Ag district, not currently used a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
While in an Ag district, not currently used a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a E1 a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 While in an Ag district, not currently used a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)			YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10. Within 3 miles of Iroquois National Wildlife Refuge	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	Ø	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile /2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile Existing mine at site	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	Ø	
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) [/	YES
Shelby Fort Site	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	_	Ø
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		Ø
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f	Ø	
The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	Ø	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	Ø	
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	✓ NO	o [YES
19 Tes , unswer questions a - e. 19 Tvo , go to section 12.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ NO	0 [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	s. No		YES
No changes in traffic / hauling	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	Z	
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	Ø	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	Ø	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	Z	
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	√ N0	D _	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g		
e. Other Impacts:			
	J.	Į.	
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC		YES
Similar to existing operations	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	abla	

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	\square	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts: Blasting / vibration may impact neighboring properties			
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)	D []	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg		
The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			

17. Consistency with Community Plans			
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.	NO	√ /	YES
(See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I	No, or small	Moderate to large
	Question(s)	impact	impact may
		may occur	occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	Ø	
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	V	
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb	Ø	
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	Ø	
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	Ø	
h. Other:			
	<u> </u>		
18. Consistency with Community Character			
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)			
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g		
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	Ø	
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	V	
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	Ø	
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	Ø	
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	Ø	
g. Other impacts:			